

The Weyl algebra and the structure of all Lie superalgebras of Riemannian type

BERTRAM KOSTANT*

0. Introduction

0.1. All vector spaces are over $\mathbb{C}$ and are assumed to be finite dimensional unless manifestly otherwise (e.g., tensor algebras, Weyl algebras, symmetric algebras, universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras). A bilinear form (u, v) on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space $V = V_0 + V_1$ will be called here supersymmetric in case $(x, y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}(y, x)$ for homogeneous elements $x, y \in V$ and the even component V_0 is orthogonal to the odd component V_1 . In case $V = \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra with $\mathfrak{g}_0 = V_0$ and $V_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1$, such a bilinear form, now denoted by $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$, will be called super-Riemannian in case $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is non-singular and *ad*-invariant. In such a case $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is non-singular, symmetric (in the usual sense) and *ad*-invariant for the ordinary Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Also $B_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ is non-singular and alternating in the usual sense. Furthermore if $Sp(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset Aut \mathfrak{g}_1$ is the symplectic group with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$, then one has a representation

$$\nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow Lie Sp(\mathfrak{g}_1) \quad (0.1)$$

where for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0, y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, one has $\nu(x)y = [x, y]$. A Lie superalgebra which admits a super-Riemannian bilinear form will be said to be of Riemannian type.

Examples.

- [1] In his classification of all simple Lie superalgebras Victor Kac (see [Kac]) isolated a subfamily which he referred to as basic classical Lie superalgebras. These are all of

* Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9625941 and in part by the KG&G Foundation

Riemannian type. Besides ordinary simple Lie algebras they list as $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $D(2, 1, \alpha)$, $F(4)$, and $G(3)$.

[2] If $\mathfrak{s}$ is any Lie superalgebra and $\mathfrak{s}^*$ is its dual we may form the semi-direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^*$ with $\mathfrak{s}$ operating on $\mathfrak{s}^*$ by the coadjoint representation and with $\mathfrak{s}^*$ taken to be abelian. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has the structure of a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type.

0.2. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be a vector space with a non-singular alternating bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and let $Sp(\mathfrak{v})$ be the symplectic group of $\mathfrak{v}$ with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be an (ordinary) Lie algebra which admits a non-singular symmetric bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Assume one has a representation

$$\nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow Lie\ Sp(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (0.2)$$

As noted in (0.1) such a representation arises from a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type. The main theorem (Theorem 0.1 below) gives a necessary and sufficient condition, purely in terms of the symplectic representation ν , that (0.2) be of the form (0.1).

Let $W(\mathfrak{v})$ be the Weyl algebra over $\mathfrak{v}$ (with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$) so that $W(\mathfrak{v})$ is the free algebra over $\mathfrak{v}$ subject to the relations $uv - vu = 2(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$. Let $S(\mathfrak{v}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ be the symmetric algebra over $\mathfrak{v}$. The bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ extends in a natural way to a non-singular bilinear form $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ on $S(\mathfrak{v})$. There is a natural identification of the underlying spaces of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $S(\mathfrak{v})$ so that we will understand that $W(\mathfrak{v})$ has two multiplicative structures. The identification is such that u^n for $u \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ has the same meaning in both structures. The Weyl product of $w, z \in W(\mathfrak{v})$ is denoted by wz and the product in $S(\mathfrak{v})$ will be denoted by $w \cdot z$. Commutation using Weyl multiplication defines a Lie algebra structure on $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ and a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow Lie\ Sp(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (0.3)$$

The representation ν then uniquely lifts to a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\nu_* : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (0.4)$$

so that ν_* composed with the isomorphism (0.3) is ν . With respect to the bilinear forms $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}|_{S^2(\mathfrak{v})}$ the map ν_* admits a transpose

$$\nu_*^t : S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad (0.5)$$

Now let $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The Lie algebra homomorphism (0.4) then extends uniquely to an algebra homomorphism

$$\nu_* : U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow W(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (0.6)$$

Let $x_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. The Casimir element $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \in Cent U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ corresponding to $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is given by $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2$. If we identify $S^0(\mathfrak{v})$ with $\mathbb{C}$ one readily shows that

$$\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) \in S^4(\mathfrak{v}) + \mathbb{C} \quad (0.7)$$

Now let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v}$. Regarding $\mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space with $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ respectively as the even and odd components, let $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the non-singular supersymmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined so that $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{v}} = B_{\mathfrak{v}}$. We will now say that the pair $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type in case there is a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that (1) $\mathfrak{g}_0$, as a Lie algebra, is the even component of $\mathfrak{g}$, (2) $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{g}_1$, and for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0, y \in \mathfrak{v}$ one has $[x, y] = \nu(x)y$, and (3) $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a super-Riemannian bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ (so that in particular $\mathfrak{g}$ is of Riemannian type). The following main theorem is proved as Theorem 2.8 in §2.

Theorem 0.1. *Let the pair $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$, as above, be arbitrary. Then $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ is a constant. That is, in the notation of (0.7), $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if the component of $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ in $S^4(\mathfrak{v})$ vanishes. Furthermore in such a case the Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is unique. In fact for $y, y' \in \mathfrak{v}$ the element $[y, y'] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is given by*

$$[y, y'] = 2\nu_*^t(y \cdot y') \quad (0.8)$$

Remark 0.2. We may restate Theorem 0.1 in the language of representation theory. Recall that $\mathfrak{v}$ is even dimensional, say $\dim \mathfrak{v} = 2m$. Then if $Diff_{poly}\mathbb{C}^m$ is the algebra of all differential operators on $\mathbb{C}^m$ with polynomial coefficients one has an algebra isomorphism

$$W(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow Diff_{poly}\mathbb{C}^m \quad (0.9)$$

If we compose (0.9) with (0.6) one has a homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow Diff_{poly}\mathbb{C}^m \quad (0.10)$$

The statement of Theorem 0.1 is that $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if the image of the Casimir element $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ in (0.10) is just multiplication by a constant.

0.3. The statement of Theorem 0.1 was suggested to us by an analogous statement for ordinary Lie algebras which we proved some time ago. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is an ordinary Lie algebra with a non-singular ad -invariant symmetric bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie subalgebra such that $B_{\mathfrak{k}} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{k}}$, is non-singular let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ orthocomplement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $SO(\mathfrak{p})$ be the orthogonal group with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The relation $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ defines a representation

$$\nu : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow Lie SO(\mathfrak{p}) \quad (0.11)$$

One question we considered and solved in the early 1960's was to characterize the case where $\mathfrak{k}$ is a symmetric subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e., the case where $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$) purely in terms of the representation ν . The characterization is the analogue of Theorem 0.1 where the Clifford algebra $Cliff(\mathfrak{p})$ replaces the Weyl algebra $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and the exterior algebra $\wedge \mathfrak{p}$ replaces the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{v})$. The condition is again that $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{k}})$ be a constant. For the statement see p.152 in [Con]. For the proof see Theorem 1.59 in [Kos].

Remark 0.3. The constancy of $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{k}})$ in case $\text{rank } \mathfrak{k} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ was used by Parthasarathy to construct discrete series representations. See Lemma 2.2 in [Parth]. One

is left to wonder whether Theorem 0.1 will have analogous applications for representations of Lie superalgebras of Riemannian type.

1. Lie superalgebras

1.1. If

$$V = V_0 + V_1$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space and $v \in V$, then the use of the notation $|v|$ implicitly assumes that v is homogeneous and $|v| = 0$ or 1 according as v is even, i.e., $v \in V_0$ or odd, i.e., $v \in V_1$. If $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ represent homogeneity indices in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector spaces then $i + j$ is taken in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a Lie superalgebra if there is a bilinear operation $[x, y]$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, where

$$[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j} \tag{1.1}$$

such that for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$(A) \quad [x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x] \text{ and}$$

$$(B) \quad (-1)^{|x||z|}[x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{|z||y|}[z, [x, y]] + (-1)^{|y||x|}[y, [z, x]] = 0$$

One readily shows that (A) and (B) are equivalent to (A) and (B') where (B') is the super derivation condition

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]] \tag{1.2}$$

If V is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space then $End V$ has the structure of a Lie superalgebra where for $a \in End V$ and $v \in V$ one has $|av| = |a| + |v|$ and for $a, b \in End V$ one has $[a, b] = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Lie superalgebra then a super representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V is a homomorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow End V$ of Lie superalgebras which preserves the grading.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra and consider the linear map

$$ad : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow End \mathfrak{g}, \text{ where for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad ad x(y) = [x, y]$$

Then one readily verifies

Remark 1.1. The map ad is a super representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself (referred to as the adjoint representation). It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that the restriction of the bracket operation to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ defines an ordinary Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ the map

$$x \mapsto ad\,x|_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \text{ defines an ordinary representation of } \mathfrak{g}_0 \text{ on } \mathfrak{g}_1 \quad (1.3)$$

1.2. Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space. The value taken on an ordered pair $u, v \in V$ by a bilinear form B on V will be denoted by (u, v) if there is no danger of confusion. A bilinear form B on V will be said to be supersymmetric if

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha) \quad (u, v) &= (-1)^{|u||v|}(v, u), \text{ for all homogeneous } u, v \in V \\ (\beta) \quad (V_i, V_j) &= 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

If π is a representation of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on V then we will say that B is invariant under π (or $\mathfrak{g}$ if π is understood) in case

$$(\pi(x)u, v) + (-1)^{|x||u|}(u, \pi(x)v) = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

for all $v \in V$, and homogeneous $x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V$.

Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space. The dual space V^* to V has an obvious $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading. For $v \in V$ and $w \in V^*$ we will denote the pairing of w and v into $\mathbb{C}$ by $\langle w, v \rangle$. If $a \in \text{End } V$ then $a^t \in \text{End } V^*$ is defined so that $\langle a^t w, v \rangle = \langle w, av \rangle$ for any $v \in V$ and any $w \in V^*$. One readily shows that if π is a super representation of a Lie superalgebra on V , then π^* is a super representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V^* (referred to as the representation contragredient to π) where for homogeneous $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and homogeneous $w \in V^*$ one has

$$\pi^*(x)w = -(-1)^{|x||w|}\pi(x)^t w \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.2. Note that it is immediate from (1.5) and (1.6) that if V is finite dimensional and B is a non-singular π -invariant supersymmetric bilinear form on V

then the linear isomorphism $\xi : V \rightarrow V^*$ defines a representation equivalence of π and π^* , where for any $u, v \in V$ one has $\langle \xi u, v \rangle = (u, v)$.

1.3. Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space. The supertrace on $End V$ is a linear map

$$str : End V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (1.7)$$

defined so that $str a = 0$ if $a \in (End V)_1$ and for $a \in (End V)_0$ one has

$$str a = tr a|V_0 - tr a|V_1$$

Regarding $\mathbb{C}$ as a 1-dimensional Lie algebra (and hence a fortiori a Lie superalgebra) one readily shows that (1.7) is a homomorphism of Lie superalgebras. In particular for $a, b \in End V$,

$$\begin{aligned} str [a, b] &= str ab - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

But for $a, b, c \in End V$ one has

$$[a, bc] = [a, b]c + (-1)^{|a||b|} b[a, c] \quad (1.9)$$

Let $B_{End V}$ be the bilinear form on $End V$ defined by putting $(b, c) = str bc$. It is trivial to see that $B_{End V}$ is non-singular. As a consequence of (1.8) and (1.9) one then recovers the well-known

Proposition 1.3. *Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space. Then $B_{End V}$ is a non-singular supersymmetric bilinear form on the Lie superalgebra $End V$. Furthermore $B_{End V}$ is invariant under the (super) adjoint representation of $End V$ on itself.*

In the terminology of the introduction, which shall be retained from now on, $End V$ (wth the bracket structure defined above) is a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian

type and $B_{End V}$ is a super-Riemannian bilinear form on $End V$. But now if $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow End V$ is any super representation of a Lie superalgebra on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space V then $B_{End V}$, by Proposition 1.3, induces a supersymmetric bilinear form B_π on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$(x, y) = str \pi(x)\pi(y) \quad (1.10)$$

which is invariant under the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. If π is the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, then one will refer to B_{ad} as the super Killing form.

Now if $\mathfrak{g}$ is any Lie superalgebra let

$$\nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow End \mathfrak{g}_1 \quad (1.11)$$

be the representation of the ordinary Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_1$ given by $\nu(x)y = [x, y]$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. In this paper the main result will give the structure of an arbitrary Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of Riemannian type. The structure theorem will depend only on the ordinary Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and a special property of a vector space representation ν of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. The following are a few examples of Lie superalgebras of Riemannian type.

Examples.

- (1) The Kac list of basic classical Lie superalgebras (see Introduction, §0.1). One notes that, for the case (i.e., $A_{m,n}$) of Proposition 1.1, if $dim V_0 = dim V_1$ then even though $B_{End V}$ is non-singular, the super Killing form is identically 0.
- (2) Let B be any adjoint invariant, supersymmetric bilinear form on a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For example take $B = B_\pi$ in the notation of (1.10). Let $rad B$ be the radical of B so that $rad B$ is a Lie superideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}/rad B$ is a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type and B' is a super-Riemannian bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}/rad B$ where B' is the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}/rad B$ induced by B . One notes that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple either $rad B = 0$ or $rad B = \mathfrak{g}$.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be any finite dimensional Lie superalgebra. The coadjoint representation, *coad*, of $\mathfrak{s}$ is the representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ on the dual space $\mathfrak{s}^*$ to $\mathfrak{s}$, which is contragredient to *ad*. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^*$. One defines a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\mathfrak{s}$ is a subalgebra, $[\mathfrak{s}^*, \mathfrak{s}^*] = 0$, and $[x, y] = \text{coad } x(y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{s}^*$. In such a case put $[y, x] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[x, y]$. One defines a bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s}^*$ are isotropic subspaces and $(y, x) = \langle y, x \rangle$ for $y \in \mathfrak{s}^*$ and $x \in \mathfrak{s}$. In such a case one puts $(x, y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}(y, x)$. It is readily verified that $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a super-Riemannian bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

2. The Weyl algebra and the main theorem

2.1. Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be an even dimensional vector space and let $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ be a non-singular alternating bilinear form (u, v) on $\mathfrak{v}$. Let $T(\mathfrak{v})$ be the tensor algebra over $\mathfrak{v}$. Let $I_W(\mathfrak{v})$ (resp. $I_S(\mathfrak{v})$) be the ideal in $T(\mathfrak{v})$ generated by all elements of the form $u \otimes v - v \otimes u - 2(u, v)$ (resp. $u \otimes v - v \otimes u$) for $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$. The Weyl algebra $W(\mathfrak{v}) = T(\mathfrak{v})/I_W(\mathfrak{v})$ (resp. the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{v}) = T(\mathfrak{v})/I_S(\mathfrak{v})$) and one has a quotient homomorphism $\sigma_W : T(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow W(\mathfrak{v})$ (resp. $\sigma_S : T(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{v})$). We may identify $\mathfrak{v}$ with $\sigma_W(\mathfrak{v})$ and with $\sigma_S(\mathfrak{v})$ so that $S(\mathfrak{v})$ is the commutative free algebra generated by $\mathfrak{v}$ and $W_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{v}$ where one has the relation

$$uv - vu = 2(u, v) \tag{2.1}$$

for $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$. If D_T is a derivation of $T(\mathfrak{v})$ which stabilizes both $I_W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $I_S(\mathfrak{v})$ then D_T descends to a derivation D_W of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and a derivation D_S of $S(\mathfrak{v})$. We consider two examples. Let $Sp(\mathfrak{v}) \subset Aut \mathfrak{v}$ be the Lie algebra of the symplectic group with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$. If $\alpha \in Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$ then α extends uniquely to a derivation $\tau_T(\alpha)$ of $T(\mathfrak{v})$. The extension clearly stabilizes both $I_W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $I_S(\mathfrak{v})$ so that α uniquely extends to the derivations $\tau_W(\alpha)$ and $\tau_S(\alpha)$ of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $S(\mathfrak{v})$, respectively. The symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{v})$ is of course graded and $\tau_S(\alpha)$ clearly stabilizes the homogeneous components

$S^k(\mathfrak{v})$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. For the second example one notes that if $u \in \mathfrak{v}$, the unique derivation $\iota_T(u)$ (of degree -1) of $T(\mathfrak{v})$ such that $\iota_T(u)v = (u, v)$ stabilizes both $I_W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $I_S(\mathfrak{v})$ and hence descends to derivations $\iota_W(u)$ and $\iota_S(u)$ of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $S(\mathfrak{v})$, respectively.

One naturally extends the bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ to a non-singular bilinear form $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ on $S(\mathfrak{v})$ so that $S^m(\mathfrak{v})$ is orthogonal to $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ for $m \neq n$ and if $u_i, v_j \in \mathfrak{v}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$(u_1 \cdots u_n, v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{\sigma} (u_1, v_{\sigma 1}) \cdots (u_n, v_{\sigma n}) \quad (2.2)$$

where the sum is over the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 2.1. Note that $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ is symmetric on $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ if n is even and alternating on $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ if n is odd.

For each $u \in \mathfrak{v}$ let $\varepsilon_S(u)$ be the linear operator on $S(\mathfrak{v})$ of multiplication by u . It is immediate from (2.2) that for any $u \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $w, z \in S(\mathfrak{v})$ that

$$(\varepsilon_S(u)w, z) = (w, \iota_S(u)z) \quad (2.3)$$

Also for $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ one readily has that the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [i_S(u), \varepsilon_S(v)] &= [\varepsilon_S(u), \iota_S(v)] \\ &= (u, v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

It follows therefore that if $\gamma(u) = \varepsilon_S(u) + \iota_S(u)$, then for $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ one has

$$[\gamma(u), \gamma(v)] = 2(u, v) \quad (2.5)$$

The linear map $\mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \text{End } S(\mathfrak{v})$ where $u \mapsto \gamma(u)$ naturally extends to a homomorphism $T(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow \text{End } S(\mathfrak{v})$ which, by (2.5), descends to a homomorphism

$$\gamma : W(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow \text{End } S(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (2.6)$$

defining the structure of a $W(\mathfrak{v})$ -module on $S(\mathfrak{v})$. Now let $\eta : W(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{v})$ be the linear map defined by putting

$$\eta(w) = \gamma(w)1 \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v}) \subset T(\mathfrak{v})$ be the space of symmetric tensors. Clearly $\sigma_W : \Sigma(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $\sigma_S : \Sigma(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{v})$ are linear isomorphisms. If $u \in \mathfrak{v}$ then since $(u, u) = 0$, it follows easily that η is a linear isomorphism since one clearly has, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\eta(u^n) = u^{[n]} \quad (2.8)$$

where, to avoid confusion, we have written u^n and $u^{[n]}$, respectively, for the n^{th} power of u in $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and $S(\mathfrak{v})$. Henceforth we will identify $W(\mathfrak{v})$ with $S(\mathfrak{v})$, using η , and understand that there are two multiplications on $W(\mathfrak{v})$, Weyl multiplication, wz , and the commutative multiplication of $S(\mathfrak{v})$ denoted now by $w \cdot z$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $u \in \mathfrak{v}$. Then $\iota_W(u) = \iota_S(u)$ so that if we put $\iota(u) = \iota_W(u) = \iota_S(u)$ then $\iota(u)$ is a derivation of both the Weyl and symmetric algebra structures on $W(\mathfrak{v})$.*

Let $\alpha \in \text{Lie } Sp(\mathfrak{v})$. Then $\tau_W(\alpha) = \tau_S(\alpha)$ so that if we put $\tau(\alpha) = \tau_W(\alpha) = \tau_S(\alpha)$ then $\tau(\alpha)$ is a derivation of both the Weyl and symmetric algebra structures on $W(\mathfrak{v})$.

Proof. It follows from (2.8) that

$$\eta \sigma_W = \sigma_S \quad (2.9)$$

on the subspace $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v}) \subset T(\mathfrak{v})$ of symmetric tensors. But then the proposition is a consequence of (2.9) since $\iota_T(u)$ and $\tau_T(\alpha)$ stabilize $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v})$. Indeed if D_T, D_W and D_S are as in the beginning of §2.1 then $D_W \sigma_W = \sigma_W D_T$ and $D_S \sigma_S = \sigma_S D_T$ on $T(\mathfrak{v})$. But if D_T stabilizes $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v})$ then (2.9) implies

$$\eta \sigma_W D_T = \sigma_S D_T$$

on $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v})$. Hence on $\Sigma(\mathfrak{v})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta D_W \sigma_W &= D_S \sigma_S \\ &= D_S \eta \sigma_W\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\eta D_W = D_S \eta \quad (2.10)$$

on $W(\mathfrak{v})$. QED

2.2. For any element $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ let $ad w$ be the operator on $W(\mathfrak{v})$ defined so that $ad w(z) = [w, z]$ where $z \in W(\mathfrak{v})$ and commutation is with respect to Weyl multiplication.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$. Then $\mathfrak{v} = S^1(\mathfrak{v})$ is stable under $ad w$ and if $v \in \mathfrak{v}, w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ one has*

$$ad w(v) = -2\iota(v)w \quad (2.11)$$

Furthermore $ad w|_{\mathfrak{v}} \in Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$ and if $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ one has

$$(u, ad w(v)) = -2\iota(u)\iota(v)w \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Since $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ is clearly spanned by elements of the form t^2 for $t \in \mathfrak{v}$ to prove (2.11) it suffices to take $w = t^2$. But then for $v \in \mathfrak{v}$

$$\begin{aligned}-2\iota(v)t^2 &= -4(v, t)t \\ &= 4(t, v)t \\ &= t^2 v - v t^2\end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.11). Now let $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$. But then (2.12) follows from (2.11). However since $\iota(u)$ and $\iota(v)$ clearly commute (this follows for example from (2.3)) one has $(u, ad w(v)) = (v, ad w(u))$. But of course $(v, ad w(u)) = -(ad w(u), v)$ so that $ad w|_{\mathfrak{v}} \in Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$. QED

Now let

$$A : S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow \text{Lie } Sp(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (2.13)$$

be the map defined by putting $A(w) = (ad w)|_{\mathfrak{v}}$.

Proposition 2.4. *The subspace $S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \subset W(\mathfrak{v})$ is a Lie algebra under Weyl commutation. Moreover A is a Lie algebra isomorphism. In addition if $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ and $\alpha = A(w)$, then*

$$\tau(\alpha) = ad w \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. Let $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ and let $\alpha = A(w) \in \text{Lie } Sp(\mathfrak{v})$. Obviously $ad w$ is a derivation of $W(\mathfrak{v})$. But then $ad w = \tau_W(\alpha)$ by definition of τ_W . Hence $ad w = \tau(\alpha)$ by Proposition 2.2. Consequently $ad w = \tau_S(\alpha)$ so that $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ is stable under $ad w$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In particular this is the case for $n = 2$ so that $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $W(\mathfrak{v})$. The Jacobi identity for commutation in $W(\mathfrak{v})$ then implies that A is a Lie algebra homomorphism. The map A is injective by (2.12). It is then surjective by dimension. QED

Identify $S^0(\mathfrak{v})$ with $\mathbb{C}$. For any $w \in W(\mathfrak{v})$ let $\chi(w) \in \mathbb{C}$ be the component in $S^0(\mathfrak{v})$ with respect to the graded structure on $W(\mathfrak{v})$ defined by the homogeneous spaces $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proposition 2.5. *The bilinear form $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ on $W(\mathfrak{g})$ may be given by*

$$(y, z) = \chi(yz) \quad (2.15)$$

for any $y, z \in W(\mathfrak{v})$. Furthermore $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ is invariant under $ad w$ for any $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$.

Proof. Let $y, z \in W(\mathfrak{v})$. By (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} yz &= \gamma(yz)1 \\ &= \gamma(y)\gamma(z)1 \\ &= \gamma(y)z \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

By linearity, to prove (2.15) it suffices to take $y = u^n, z = v^m$ for $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. But by (2.16)

$$yz = (\varepsilon_S(u) + \iota_S(v))^n v^m \tag{2.17}$$

But then it is immediate from (2.17) that $\chi(yz) = 0$ if $n \neq m$ and if $n = m$, (2.17) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(yz) &= (\iota_S(u))^m v^m \\ &= m!(u, v)^m \\ &= (y, z) \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.15). But now $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ is invariant under $ad w$ for $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ by (2.15) since $ad w$ derivates Weyl multiplication and, also, by (2.14), $ad w$ preserves the gradation of $S(\mathfrak{v})$. QED

Clearly there exists a unique anti-automorphism J_T of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{v})$ such that $J_T(u) = iu$ for any $u \in \mathfrak{v}$. One notes that J_T fixes any element of the form $u \otimes v - v \otimes u - 2(u, v)$ where $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ so that J_T stabilizes the ideal $I_W(\mathfrak{v})$. Consequently J_T descends to an anti-automorphism J of order 4 on the Weyl algebra $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and equals the scalar i on $\mathfrak{v}$. Clearly then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$J = i^n \text{ on } S^n(\mathfrak{v}) \tag{2.18}$$

since $S^n(\mathfrak{v})$ is spanned by all elements of the form u^n for $u \in \mathfrak{v}$. One notes then that J^2 is an automorphism of order 2 on $W(\mathfrak{v})$ and

$$J^2 = (-1)^n \text{ on } S^n(\mathfrak{v}) \tag{2.19}$$

Let $W^{even}(\mathfrak{v}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{2n}(\mathfrak{v})$. Identify $S^0(\mathfrak{v})$ with $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.6. *W^{even} is the (associative) subalgebra of $W(\mathfrak{v})$ generated by $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$. Furthermore for any $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ one has*

$$w^2 \in S^4(\mathfrak{v}) + \mathbb{C} \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. It is clear from (2.19) that $W^{even}(\mathfrak{v})$ is the space of fixed elements for the automorphism J^2 . Hence $W^{even}(\mathfrak{v})$ is a subalgebra of $W(\mathfrak{v})$. However clearly $W^{even}(\mathfrak{v})$ is spanned by all elements of the form $u^{2n} = (u^2)^n$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{v}$. Hence $W^{even}(\mathfrak{v})$ is generated by $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$. But now it follows immediately from (2.16) and the formula for $\gamma(v)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{v}$ that

$$(S^2(\mathfrak{v}))^2 \subset S^4(\mathfrak{v}) + S^2(\mathfrak{v}) + \mathbb{C} \quad (2.21)$$

We have only to show that if $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$, the component of w^2 in $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ on the right side of (2.21) vanishes. But $J = -1$ on $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ and $J = 1$ on $S^4(\mathfrak{v}) + \mathbb{C}$. But $J(w^2) = w^2$. Thus the component of w^2 in $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ vanishes. QED

2.3. Now assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is some (ordinary) Lie algebra with a nonsingular ad -invariant symmetric bilinear form (x, y) denoted by $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Assume also that $\mathfrak{v}$ is an even dimensional vector space with a non-singular alternating bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and that

$$\nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$$

is a symplectic representation (with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$) of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\mathfrak{v}$.

Remark 2.7. Note that one is presented with these assumptions in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type. In such a case let $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a super Riemannian bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed let $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$. Then the

assumptions are satisfied where $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{g}_1$, $B_{\mathfrak{v}} = B_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ and ν is the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ defined as in (1.11).

By Proposition 2.2 the Weyl algebra $W(\mathfrak{v}) = S(\mathfrak{v})$ (as linear spaces) then inherits the structure of a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module with respect to the action of $\tau(\nu(x))$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. But, by Proposition 2.4, ν uniquely “lifts” to a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\nu_* : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (2.22)$$

such that, by (2.14),

$$ad \nu_*(x) = \tau(\nu(x)) \quad (2.23)$$

for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. With respect to the bilinear forms $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}|_{S^2(\mathfrak{v})}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ the map ν_* admits a transpose

$$\nu_*^t : S^2(\mathfrak{v}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad (2.24)$$

so that for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ one has

$$(\nu_*(x), w) = (x, \nu_*^t(w)) \quad (2.25)$$

The spaces $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ are $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules respectively with respect to ad and $ad \nu_*(x)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Both ν_* and its transpose ν_*^t are $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -maps.*

Proof. Clearly ν_* is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -map since ν_* is a Lie algebra homomorphism. But then ν_*^t is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -map since $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is, of course, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -invariant and $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -invariant by Proposition 2.5. QED

Let $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Since ν_* is a Lie algebra homomorphism, it extends to an algebra homomorphism

$$\nu_* : U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow W^{even}(\mathfrak{v}) \quad (2.26)$$

Let $k = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0$ and let $x_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Consider the Casimir element $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2$. Of course $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is in the center $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ of $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. We will be particularly interested in $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$. By (2.20) one has

$$\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) \in S^4(\mathfrak{v}) + \mathbb{C} \quad (2.27)$$

2.4. We retain the assumptions and notation of §2.3 so that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an arbitrary (ordinary) Lie algebra which admits a non-singular ad -invariant symmetric bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Also $\mathfrak{v}$ is an even dimensional vector space with a non-singular alternating bilinear form $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and

$$\nu : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$$

is a symplectic representation (with respect to $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$) of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\mathfrak{v}$. Recalling Remark 2.7 our main theorem will be a condition on ν which characterizes the case when the assumptions arise from a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v}$ and regard $\mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ are respectively the even and odd components of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the non-singular supersymmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined so that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{v}$ are orthogonal and $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$, $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{v}} = B_{\mathfrak{v}}$. We will say that the pair $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type in case there exists a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that (1) $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the even component, $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{g}_1$ is the odd component, $[x, y] = \nu(x)y$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0, y \in \mathfrak{v}$ and (2) $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is ad -invariant.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be arbitrary. Then $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ is a constant in the Weyl algebra $W(\mathfrak{v})$. That is, $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if, in the notation of (2.27), the component of $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ in $S^4(\mathfrak{v})$ vanishes. Furthermore the Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is unique. In fact for any $y, y' \in \mathfrak{v}$ one has*

$$[y, y'] = 2\nu_*^t(y \cdot y') \quad (2.28)$$

where we recall $y \cdot y' \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ is the (commutative) product of y and y' in $S(\mathfrak{v})$ and $[y, y'] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is the supercommutator in $\mathfrak{g}$ (and is not commutation in $W(\mathfrak{v})$). In addition $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra of Riemannian type and $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a super-Riemannian bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Assume first that $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type and let $[y, z]$ be the bracket in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We will show (2.28) is necessarily satisfied. Let $y, y' \in \mathfrak{v}$ and let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then by (2.3), (2.11) and (2.23) one has

$$\begin{aligned} ([y, y'], x) &= -(y', [x, y]) \\ &= 2(y', \iota(y)\nu_*(x)) \\ &= 2(y \cdot y', \nu_*(x)) \\ &= 2(\nu_*^t(y \cdot y'), x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

But this establishes (2.28) since $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is arbitrary and $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is non-singular.

Now assume $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is arbitrary. We will define a bracket $[y, z]$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ which will clearly satisfy

$$[y, z] = -(-1)^{|y||z|}[z, y] \tag{2.30}$$

Let $[y, z]$ be the bracket in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ if $y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let $[y, z] = -[z, y] = \nu(y)z$ if $y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{v}$. Let $[y, z] = 2\nu_*^t(y \cdot z)$ if $y, z \in \mathfrak{v}$. Now for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $a(x) \in \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ be defined so that $a(x)y = [x, y]$ for any $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We next show that $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is invariant under $a(x)$ any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is, for homogeneous $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$([x, y], z) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}(y, [x, z]) \tag{2.31}$$

If $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ then both sides vanish if y and z have unequal degrees. But one has (2.31) for $y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ since $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is ad -invariant and one has (2.30) for $y, z \in \mathfrak{v}$ since $\nu(x) \in \text{Lie } Sp(\mathfrak{v})$. Next assume $x \in \mathfrak{v}$. Then both sides of (2.31) vanish if y and z have the same degrees. By reversing sides it suffices only to consider only one of the remaining 2 cases. Namely it suffices to consider the case where $y \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{v}$.

But then (2.31) follows from a reverse of the argument in (2.29). That is going from bottom to the top. This establishes (2.31).

We now determine to what extent the super Jacobi identity

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]] \quad (2.32)$$

is already satisfied. Of course (2.32) is satisfied in case $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. In case 2 of the 3 elements x, y, z are in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, then (2.32) is also satisfied since ν is a representation. But (2.32) is also satisfied if only one of the elements x, y, z lies in $\mathfrak{g}_0$. To prove this it suffices only to consider the case where $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{v}$. The fact that this case is sufficient uses (2.30) which holds for all homogeneous pairs x, y in $\mathfrak{g}$. But by Proposition 2.2, (2.23) and Proposition 2.7

$$\begin{aligned} [x, [y, z]] &= 2[x, \nu_*^t(y \cdot z)] \\ &= 2\nu_*^t(ad \nu_*(x)(y \cdot z)) \\ &= 2\nu_*^t([x, y] \cdot z + y \cdot [x, z]) \\ &= [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]] \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.32). The final case to be considered is when the three elements are in $\mathfrak{v}$. Recalling (B) in §1.1 we will have established that $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type if and only if for all $y, y', y'' \in \mathfrak{v}$ one has

$$[y, [y', y'']] + [y', [y'', y]] + [y, [y', y'']] = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

Let $y, y', y'' \in \mathfrak{v}$. Let $w \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$ and $y, y', y'' \in \mathfrak{v}$. Then by Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(y')\iota(y)w^2 &= \iota(y')((\iota(y)w)w + w\iota(y)w) \\ &= 2(\iota(y')\iota(y)w)w + \iota(y)w\iota(y')w + \iota(y')w\iota(y)w \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

But $\iota(u)\iota(v)w = (u \cdot v, w)$ by (2.3). Hence applying $\iota(y'')$ to (2.34) yields

$$\iota(y'')\iota(y')\iota(y)w^2 = 2((y \cdot y', w)\iota(y'')w + (y' \cdot y'', w)\iota(y)w + (y'' \cdot y, w)\iota(y')w) \quad (2.35)$$

Now let $\{x_i\}, i = 1, \dots, k$, be a $B_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ -orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ so that $Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2$.

But now if we replace w in (2.35) by $\nu_*(x_i)$ one has by (2.11) and (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota(y'')\iota(y')\iota(y)\nu_*(x_i)^2 &= 2((y \cdot y', \nu_*(x_i))\iota(y'')\nu_*(x_i) + (y' \cdot y'', \nu_*(x_i))\iota(y)\nu_*(x_i) \\
&\quad + (y'' \cdot y, \nu_*(x_i))\iota(y')\nu_*(x_i)) \\
&= (\nu_*^t(y \cdot y'), x_i)[y'', x_i] + (\nu_*^t(y' \cdot y''), x_i)[y, x_i] \\
&\quad + (\nu_*^t(y'' \cdot y), x_i)[y', x_i] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}([y, y'], x_i)[y'', x_i] + ([y', y''], x_i)[y, x_i] + ([y'', y], x_i)[y', x_i]
\end{aligned}$$

But then summing over $i = 1, \dots, k$, yields

$$\iota(y'')\iota(y')\iota(y)\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) = \frac{1}{2}([y'', [y, y']] + [y, [y', y'']] + [y', [y'', y]]) \quad (2.36)$$

But if $z \in S^4(\mathfrak{v})$ then for any $y''' \in \mathfrak{v}$, $(y''', \iota(y'')\iota(y')\iota(y)z) = (y \cdot y' \cdot y'' \cdot y''', z)$ by (2.3). Hence $z = 0$ if and only if $\iota(y'')\iota(y')\iota(y)z = 0$ for all $y, y', y'' \in \mathfrak{v}$ since $B_{S(\mathfrak{v})}$ is non-singular on $S^4(\mathfrak{v})$. Thus one has the Jacobi identity (2.33) if and only if the component of $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ in $S^4(\mathfrak{v})$ vanishes. The result then follows from (2.27). QED

Assuming $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type the scalar $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ describes the action of $\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$ in the representation (0.9) of $W(\mathfrak{v})$. We can compute this scalar purely in terms of the finite dimensional representation ν of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Since $Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$ is a simple Lie algebra, it has a unique ad -invariant symmetric bilinear form up to scalar multiplication. However two such forms present themselves to us. One, $tr \alpha\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$, and the second is given by $B_{S_{\mathfrak{v}}}|S^2(\mathfrak{v})$. The following lemma computes the ratio.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $w, z \in S^2(\mathfrak{v})$. Then*

$$(w, z) = \frac{1}{8} tr(ad w ad z)|_{\mathfrak{v}} \quad (2.37)$$

Proof. By the simplicity of $Lie Sp(\mathfrak{v})$ it suffices to verify (2.37) for a single choice of w and z where $(w, z) \neq 0$. In fact we choose $w = z$ and $w = u \cdot v$ where $u, v \in \mathfrak{v}$ are chosen so that $(u, v) = 1$. Since of course $(u, u) = (v, v) = 0$ it follows immediately from (2.2) that

$$(u \cdot v, u \cdot v) = 1 \quad (2.38)$$

On the other hand by (2.11) one readily has

$$\begin{aligned} ad u \, ad v(v) &= 2v \\ ad u \, ad v(u) &= -2u \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

But $ad u \, ad v$ clearly vanishes on the $B_{\mathfrak{v}}$ -orthocomplement of the plane spanned by u and v . Hence $tr(ad u \, ad v)^2 = 8$. QED

The representation ν of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of course extends to a representation of ν of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathfrak{v}$. We can now prove

Theorem 2.10. *Assume that $(\nu, B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of super Lie type. Then*

$$\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) = \frac{1}{8} tr \nu(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) \quad (2.40)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.8, (2.15) and Lemma 2.9 one has, using notation in the proof of Theorem 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) &= \chi(\nu_*(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (\nu_*(x_i), \nu_*(x_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^k tr \nu(x_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{8} tr \nu(Cas_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) \end{aligned}$$

QED

References

- [Con] L. Conlon, A class of variationally complete representations, *J. Diff. Geometry*, **7**(1972), 149-160
- [Kac] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. in Math.*, **26**(1977), 8-96
- [Kos] B. Kostant, A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups, *Duke Math. Journal*, **100**(1999), 447-501
- [Parth] R. Parthasarathy, Dirac operator and the discrete series, *Ann. of Math.*, **96**(1972), 1-30

Bertram Kostant
 Dept. of Math.
 MIT
 Cambridge, MA 02139

E-mail kostant@math.mit.edu